UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,452	10/19/2005	Fabio Giannessi	2818-241	6827
23117 7590 08/09/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, TIMOTHY P	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/539,452	GIANNESSI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Timothy P. Thomas	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
• •	VIC CET TO EVDIDE 4 MC	NTU(S) OR TURTY (20) DAYS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J	<u>lune 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		·			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	•	•			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	·	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	received			
occ the attached detailed office action for a list	t of the defined copies not f	eceiveu.			
Attachment(s)	🗀 .				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 9-16, drawn to a combination of R-4-trimethylammonio-3-(tetradecyl-carbamoyl)-aminobutyrate or one of its pharmaceutically acceptable salts and metformin or one of its pharmaceutically acceptable salts.

Group II, claim(s) 3-6, drawn to a method of preparing a medicament.

Group III, claim(s) 2, 7-8, drawn to a method of treating type 2 diabetes.

Note: the "use" claims (2-8) have been interpreted to fall into the designated groups, applicant may have different intentions than the designated groupings; for instance claim 3 might be interpreted as drawn to a method of preparing a medicament (with some unspecified method steps) or as a method of treating type 2 diabetes (presumably by unlisted method steps, such as administration of the combination of claim 1); claim 2 might be interpreted as a method of treating type 2 diabetes or alternatively as a method of preparing a medicament; similar alternatives are possible with the other "use" claims. It is recommended that applicant clarify the intention of these claims, by amendment. If applicant's intention for specific "use" claims is different than the characterization in the above groups; upon amendment they will be reconsidered for inclusion into an appropriate grouping.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Giannessi ,et al (WO 99/59957, listed on IDS submitted 6/20/2005) discloses compounds that have carnitine palmitoyl-transferase inhibition activity (title, abstract), specifically naming the first compound of the instant claim 1 combination, ST 1326 (p. 10, line 7; example 15); combination with another active ingredient, in the treatment or prevention of diabetes, including biguanides (p 14, lines 19-20; p. 85, line 11- p. 86, line 8; p. 91, lines 6-12).

Art Unit: 1614

Giannessi does not teach Metformin as a species of biguanides. Dagogo-Jack, et al. (Arch. Intern. Med. 157; 1997 Sep 8, 1802-1817, listed on IDS) teaches current approved agents for treatment of type 2 diabetes includes the biguanide Metformin (p. 1807, column 1 paragraph 2; Table 3; p. 1808, column 2 paragraph 3-column 3, paragraph 1); CPT I inhibitors (p. 1810, column 2, paragraph 2-column 3, paragraph 3); and combination therapies that achieve additive or synergistic effect and thereby improve glycemic control (p. 1811, column 1, paragraph 2). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine ST 1326 with Metformin into a combination pharmaceutical composition for treatment of type 2 diabetes, since Metformin is the single biguanide identified by Dagogo-Jack approved for treatment of type 2 diabetes and combination therapies have improved benefit over single drug therapies. Therefore the technical feature of the application does not comprise a special technical feature linking the inventions, since there is not an inventive step.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/539,452 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy P. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-8994. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TPT/ Timothy P. Thomas Patent Examiner

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

V Marshe 8/407